

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, AU 1615, 571-272-0588

Carlos Azpuru

Fax

NOV 26 2008

To: Jerry Hefner, Ph.D.	From: Carlos Azpuru
Fax: 619-235-0176	Pages: 23
Phone: 619-235-8550	Date: 05/06/2008
Re: Interview Summary	CC:
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Please Recycle	

• **Comments:**

Please Note:

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/684,859

Applicant(s)

SCHARP ET AL.

Examiner

Carlos A. Azpuru

Art Unit

1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(3) _____

(2) Che Swyden Chereskin, Ph.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 May 2008.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.If Yes, brief description: slide presentation of coating process used in the invention.Claim(s) discussed: all.Identification of prior art discussed: WO 00/53154.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

05/06/2008 17:45 FAX

004,859

003

Conclusion of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The criticality of the process used to make the composition was discussed. It was suggested that this method be incorporated into the independent claims since it appears to be critical to achieving the invention. Applicants do not agree. This will be considered further on next action. The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph will be withdrawn in view of applicant's response. Copending applications cited in the response will be reviewed for obviousness-type double patenting issue.